## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)     |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--|
| 10/591,506      | SAWAGUCHI ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit         |  |
| KARUNA P. REDDY | 1796             |  |

| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on   | the cover short with the correspondence address  |
|---|--|
| • •   | ·  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sar<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies:<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ol>  | (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request                           |
| periods:  | Ale Singlesia Aire   |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of  |  |
|   |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).<br>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which   | the netition under 27 CER 1 126(a) and the engraprists extension for   |
| Extensions of time may be obtained unter 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of white have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thr may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee d statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance v  | with 37 CER 41 37 must be filed within two months of the date of   |
|   | ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a   |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior</li> </ol>  | r to the date of filing a brief will not be entered because  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat   | <del>-</del>   |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  | deliant dear of the residual,  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form   | n for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for  |
| appeal; and/or  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspond   | onding number of finally rejected claims.  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See  | attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).   |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:<br>Claim(s) objected to:  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we   | e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a   |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the   |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | states of the staints after entry to below of attached.  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does it   | NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |
| <ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/S</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: <u>see attachment</u>.</li> </ul>   | B/08) Paper No(s)  |
| /Vasu Jagannathan/  | /K. P. R./   |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796  | Examiner, Art Unit 1796  |
| , , ,   | Examinor, Art Offic 1700   |